The Board of Adjustment of the City of Seabrook met on Thursday, January 27, 2022 via 1 teleconference in regular session to consider the following agenda items. 2 3 4 THOSE PRESENT WERE: 5 6 SUE LANGGARD THOMEY **CHAIRMAN** 7 **VICE-CHAIR** MICHELE GLASER 8 **EDELMIRO MUNIZ MEMBER** 9 TIN NGO **MEMBER TERRY MOORE** 10 **MEMBER** 11 ALTERNATE MEMBERS vacant 12 STEVE WEATHERED **CITY ATTORNEY** 13 **SEAN LANDIS DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND** 14 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 15 ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR PAT PATEL 16 17 Sue Thomey called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. 18 19 1.0 **ADMINISTER OATH OF WITNESSES** 20 21 Applicant – Sylvia Hallum, 1637 E. Meyer Avenue, Seabrook, TX 77586 22 23 PUBLIC COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS 2.0 24 25 None. 26 27 28 3.0 SPECIFIC PUBLIC HEARING 29 30 3.1 Request for a variance to the Seabrook Code of Ordinances, Appendix A, "Comprehensive Zoning", Article 3, "Establishment of Zoning Districts and 31 Associated Regulations", Section 3.04.04, "Accessory Structures", as it relates to 32 "Accessory structures shall not occupy a required front or corner side yard or 33 project beyond the front building line of the principal structure on the site". 34 35 Applicant/Owner: Sylvia Hallum, 1637 E. Meyer Avenue, Seabrook, TX 77586 36 37 38 Legal Description: 1637 E. Meyer Avenue, Seabrook, TX 77586, Lot 2, Block 1, Replat 39 Lake Vista Estates, recorded in volume 388, page 071, of the Map Records of Harris County Texas. 40 41 42 This property is located immediately north of E. Meyer Avenue, and east of State Highway 146. 43 44 45

Chair Sue Thomey opened the Public Hearing at 6:03 p.m.

Director Sean Landis explained to the Board that the applicant is requesting to construct an in-the-ground swimming pool within the City's defined front yard. The property is approximately a ½ acre and the site is not configured in a customary manner. The property is adjacent to Lake Mija and when it was developed, the owner wanted to take full advantage of the house placement and the Lake.

 Since this lot is uniquely built, where the front of the house is facing the street and the rear of the house is facing Lake Mija. The front lot is a lot larger than a typical lot and the applicant is requesting to build a swimming pool in the front lot. The property already has a wooden fence that goes all the way around the property boundaries which screens the pool from public view. As part of the permitting process, there will also be a gate that will be installed.

The applicant, Sylvia Hallum, stated that her neighbors have an identical fence that has a remote gate along the fence line, and that she plans on using the same builder to install that same gate. The public would not be able to see the swimming pool because the gate is at least 6ft tall.

Sue Thomey closed the Public Hearing at 6:12 p.m.

## 4.0 NEW BUSINESS

4.1 Consider and take all appropriate action on a request for a variance to the Seabrook Code of Ordinances, Appendix A, "Comprehensive Zoning", Article 3, "Establishment of Zoning Districts and Associated Regulations", Section 3.04.04, "Accessory Structures", as it relates to "Accessory structures shall not occupy a required front or corner side yard or project beyond the front building line of the principal structure on the site".

VARIANCE FINDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 10.04.02 – the issuance of a variance may not be granted unless the board shall determine that all of the following criteria have been met:

A. That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved which are not applicable to other lands, structures or buildings in the same district.

Applicant's Answer: I would like to put in an in-ground swimming pool in my front yard because I don't have a typical backyard to support a pool. I have ½ an acre in my front yard & that is the only place a pool could fit on my property.

101	We find accordingly
102	Avec Sue Thomas Michale Claser Ed Munic Tim Nee Town Manne
103 104	Ayes: Sue Thomey, Michele Glaser, Ed Muniz, Tin Ngo, Terry Moore
104	Nays: Abstain: None
105	Austain. None
107	B. That literal interpretation of the provisions of this ordinance would deprive the
107	applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other property owners in the same district under
109	the terms of this ordinance.
110	the terms of this of dinance.
111	Applicant's Answer: I have a 6' privacy fence around my property & neighbors would not be
112	able to see the pool. If the pool was not permissible then I would to be deprived because of the
113	configuration of my property.
114	configuration of my property.
115	We find accordingly.
116	" c fina accordingly.
117	Ayes: Sue Thomey, Michele Glaser, Ed Muniz, Tin Ngo, Terry Moore
118	Nays:
119	Abstain: None
120	Hostain, Hone
121	C. That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the
122	applicant.
123	
124	Applicant's Answer: I live on a unique lot & due to the proximity of the water which results in
125	my front yard actually being my back yard.
126	y y actionary country y
127	We find accordingly.
128	
129	Ayes: Sue Thomey, Michele Glaser, Ed Muniz, Tin Ngo, Terry Moore
130	Nays:
131	Abstain: None
132	
133	D. That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special
134	privilege that is denied by this ordinance to other lands, structures, or buildings in the
135	same district.
136	
137	Applicant's Answer: Pools are typically built in back yards with larger tracks than mine. My
138	property is much larger in the front yard than rear as some others in same district due to being on
139	the water. Therefore, other homes have their pool in the front yard.
140	
141	We find accordingly.
142	
143	Ayes: Sue Thomey, Michele Glaser, Ed Muniz, Tin Ngo, Terry Moore
144	Nays:
145	Abstain: None
146	
147	

 151 152 E. That a literal enforcement of the provisions of this ordinance would result in 153 unnecessary hardship. 154 155 Applicant's Answer: If I were denied this variance, it would definitely result in unnecessary 156 hardship because of the lack of enjoyment my family and I would be deprived of. Others in my 157 district were approved of this same type of variance and I would like this same approval. 158 159 We find accordingly, for the reasons expressed herein: 160 161 Ayes: Sue Thomey, Michele Glaser, Ed Muniz, Tin Ngo, Terry Moore 162 Navs: 163 Abstain: None 164 165 166 167 VARIANCE GRANTED - MOTION CARRIED BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT 168 169 170 5.0 **ROUTINE BUSINESS** 171 172 5.1 Approve the minutes from the November 15, 2021 meeting. 173 174 Motion was made by Ed Muniz and seconded by Tin Ngo. 175 176 Approve the minutes as presented. 177 178 MOTION CARRIES BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT. 179 180 Meeting adjourned at 6:18 p.m. 181 APPROVED THIS HTH DAY OF 182 183 184 185 186 Pat Patel, Administrative Coordinator Michele Glaser, Vice-Chair 187